| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary  | Application No.  | Applicant(s)   |
|---|--|--|
|   | 10/541,675   | DEMARS ET AL.  |
|   | Examiner   | Art Unit   |
|   | DANIEL LEE   | 1791   |
| All Participants: Status of Application:  |  |  |
| (1) <u>DANIEL LEE</u> .   | (3)  |  |
| (2) <u>Johnny Ma</u> .  | (4)  |  |
| Date of Interview: <u>24 June 2010</u>  | Time: <u>1500</u>  |  |
| Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:   | cant's representative)   |  |
| Part I.   |  |  |
| Rejection(s) discussed:   |  |  |
| Claims discussed: 25  |  |  |
| Prior art documents discussed:  |  |  |
| Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI An amendment to remove the "tool type" terminology was discutted application in condition for allowance.   |  |  |
| Part III.   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summand.</li> </ul> | he examiner will provide a writt<br>record of the substance of the | en summary of the substance interview, since the interview |
|   |  |  |
|   |  |  |
| /D. L./<br>Examiner, Art Unit 1791  | (Applicant/Applicant's Representa                                  | tive Signature – if appropriate)                           |
| ·   | a ppheam represent   | are organice in appropriate)                               |